

Models of Computation (Sheet #1)

Marius Gavrilescu

1. In all of the following q_0 (or q_{00}) is the start state, and $\#$ is a garbage state (non-accepting state, all edges from it go to itself).

$x \rightarrow_i y$ means "edge from x to y with label i ". $x \rightarrow y$ means $x \rightarrow_0 y$ and $x \rightarrow_1 y$.

- (a) $q_0 \rightarrow q_0$ No accepting states.
 - (b) $q_0 \rightarrow \#$, q_0 accepting state.
 - (c) $q_0 \rightarrow q_1, q_1 \rightarrow q_1$. q_1 accepting state.
 - (d) $q_0 \rightarrow_0 q_1, q_1 \rightarrow q_2, q_2 \rightarrow q_1, q_0 \rightarrow_1 q_3, q_3 \rightarrow q_4, q_4 \rightarrow q_3$. Accepting states q_1 and q_4 .
 - (e) States are q_{ab} with $a \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $b \in \{0, 1\}$. We have $q_{ab} \rightarrow_0 q_{(a+1)b}$, $q_{ab} \rightarrow_1 q_{a(b+1)}$ if the destination state exists. Additionally, we have $q_{2b} \rightarrow_0 q_{2b}$, $q_{a1} \rightarrow_1 \#$. Accepting states are q_{20} and q_{21} .
 - (f) States are q_{ab} with $a \in \{0, 1\}$ and $b \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. We have $q_{0b} \rightarrow_0 q_{1b}$, $q_{1b} \rightarrow_0 q_{0b}$, $q_{a0} \rightarrow_1 q_{a1}$, $q_{a1} \rightarrow_1 q_{a2}$, $q_{a2} \rightarrow_1 q_{a3}$, $q_{a3} \rightarrow_1 q_{a3}$. Accepting states are q_{0b} and q_{a2} .
2. (a) Let $M_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma, \delta_1, q_1, F_1)$ recognise L_1 and $M_2 = (Q_2, \Sigma, \delta_2, q_2, F_2)$ recognise L_2 . Construct $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ to recognise $L_1 \cap L_2$:
$$Q = Q_1 \times Q_2$$
$$\delta((r_1, r_2), a) = (\delta_1(r_1, a), \delta_2(r_2, a))$$
$$q_0 = (q_1, q_2)$$
$$F = F_1 \times F_2$$

This automaton simulates both automatons at the same time, accepting the string only if both automatons would have accepted it.
 - (b) Same as above, but change $F = F_1 \times F_2$ to $F = F_1 \times (Q_2 \setminus F_2)$.
3. (a) $q_i \rightarrow_1 q_0, q_0 \rightarrow_0 q_1, q_1 \rightarrow_0 q_2, q_2 \rightarrow_0 q_2$. Accepting state q_2 .
 - (b) No edges. Accepting state q_0 .
 - (c) $q_0 \rightarrow_0 q_1$. Accepting state q_1 .
 - (d) $q_0 \rightarrow_0 q_1, q_0 \rightarrow_1 q_2, q_0 \rightarrow q_3, q_1 \rightarrow q_1, q_1 \rightarrow_0 q_3, q_2 \rightarrow q_2, q_2 \rightarrow_1 q_3$. Accepting state q_3 .
4. (a) 1025 states. First 1024 represent bitmasks of digits seen so far, the last (q_{1024}) is the only accepting state.
In every normal state, if we get a digit whose bit is 0 we set that bit to 1 (= we move to the state with that bit 1 and other bits unchanged), and if we get a digit whose bit is 1 we move to the same state and we move to the accepting state.
 - (b) Same states as before, and same transitions except that we move to the accepting state when we get a digit whose bit is 0, not when we get a digit whose bit is 1.

5. (a) States q_A, q_B, q_C . Start in q_A . Edges $q_A \xrightarrow{A} q_A, q_A \xrightarrow{B} q_B, q_A \xrightarrow{C} q_C, q_B \xrightarrow{B} q_B, q_B \xrightarrow{C} q_C, q_C \xrightarrow{C} q_C$. All states are accepting.
- (b) States $q_0 \dots q_{10}$. Start in q_{10} . Edges $q_i \xrightarrow{1} q_0, q_i \xrightarrow{0} q_{i+1}, q_{10} \xrightarrow{0} q_{10}$. All states accepting except for q_{10} .
6. (a) Yes, swapping accepting and non-accepting states yields an NFA.
No, the new NFA does not necessarily recognise the complement of L . Example: the NFA at 3 (ii) whose language is $\{\epsilon\}$, yields a NFA whose language is \emptyset if we swap accepting and non-accepting states.
- (b) Yes. For any regular language there is a DFA that accepts it. If we swap accepting states and non-accepting states on that DFA, the resulting DFA will accept the complement of the language. So the complement of a regular language is also regular.