

# Models of Computation (Sheet #3)

Marius Gavrilescu

1. (a) Suppose the language is regular, and let  $p$  be the pumping length. We will take the string  $s = 0^p 10^p$ . By the pumping lemma there are  $x, y, z$  such that  $s = xyz$  where  $|xy| \leq p$  and  $|y| > 0$ . Therefore  $x = 0^a, y = 0^b, z = 0^{p-a-b} 10^p$ . The lemma also asserts  $xy^i z \in L \forall i \geq 0$ . But  $xz = 0^{p-b} 10^p$  with  $b \neq 0$ , which is not in  $L$ . This contradicts the lemma's assertion, so the language is not regular.  
(b) Similarly to the above part we'll let  $p$  be the pumping length and take  $s = 0^{p!} 1^{2 \cdot p!}$  as suggested by the hint. There will be some  $x, y, z$  as before, so  $x = 0^a, y = 0^b, z = 0^{p!-a-b} 1^{2 \cdot p!}$  with  $a+b \leq p$ . This means  $b \leq p$ , so  $b|p!$ . Therefore we can select  $i = \frac{p!}{b} + 1$ , in which case  $xy^i z$  is  $0^{2 \cdot p!} 1^{2 \cdot p!} \notin L$ . This contradicts the lemma's assertion, so the language is not regular.
2. (a) Regular languages are closed under complement, so if  $L$  was regular then the set of palindromes would have to be regular as well. This is a contradiction, so  $L$  is not regular.  
(b) Take strings  $s_i = 0^i 1$ . For any  $i \neq j$ ,  $s_i \not\equiv_L s_j$  because  $s_i 0^i \notin L$  while  $s_j 0^i \in L$ . So there are infinitely many equivalence classes, which means  $L$  is not regular.  
(c) Similarly to 1(i) we will let  $p$  be the pumping length and take  $s = 0^{p!} 10^{2 \cdot p!}$ .
3. (a) Take any word in the language. If it is in  $L_1$ , then it looks like  $01^i 01^j 01^j$ . We can take  $x = 0, y = 1, z = 1^{i-1} 01^j 01^j$ .  $xy^i z$  will be either  $01^k 01^j 01^j$  with  $k > 0$  or  $001^j 01^j$ , which are in  $L_1 \cup L_2$ .  
Otherwise, it is in  $L_2$ , we look at the first three digits. If any of the digits are 1, we select it as  $y$  because repeating it (or removing it) does not remove our string from  $L_2$ . If all of the digits are 0, we select any of them. Repeating it keeps our string in  $L_2$ , and removing it still keeps it in  $L_2$  because the other two 0s are now consecutive.  
(b) Take strings  $s_i = 0101^i 01$  for  $i > 1$ . Now for any  $i \neq j$  we have  $s_i \not\equiv_L s_j$  because  $s_i 1^{i-1} \in L_1 \cup L_2$  while  $s_j 1^{i-1} \notin L_1 \cup L_2$ .  
(c) No, because the Pumping Lemma is not a characterisation of regular languages. It holds for all regular languages, but also for some non-regular languages.
4. Empty set is accepted by  $(\{A\}, \{0, 1\}, (A \rightarrow \epsilon), A)$ .

Palindromes are accepted by  $(\{A\}, \{0, 1\}, (A \rightarrow \epsilon | 0 | 1 | 0A0 | 1A1), A)$ .

Strings with at least three ones are accepted by  $(\{A, B, C, D\}, \{0, 1\}, \mathcal{R}, D)$  where the rules are:

$A \rightarrow \epsilon | 0A | 1A$

$B \rightarrow A1A$

$C \rightarrow B1A$

$D \rightarrow C1A$

Odd-length strings whose middle symbol is 0 are accepted by

$(\{A\}, \{0, 1\}, (A \rightarrow 0 | 1A0 | 0A1 | 0A0 | 1A1), A)$

5. (a)  $(\{A, B, C\}, \{a, b\}, \mathcal{R}, A)$  with rules:

$$A \rightarrow B|aAb$$

$$B \rightarrow bbbC$$

$$C \rightarrow \epsilon|bC$$

(b)  $(\{A\}, \{a, b\}, \mathcal{R}, A)$  with rules:

$$A \rightarrow aAbb|Bab|Ba|C$$

$$B \rightarrow \epsilon|aB$$

$$C \rightarrow \epsilon|bC$$

6. (a) Well-bracketing means that a string that is either empty or formed of an open paranthesis of one kind, followed by a well-bracketed string, followed of a closed paranthesis of the same kind as the first one, and followed by another well-bracketed string.

Writing this as a CFG,  $(\{A\}, \{(\ , \ ), [\ , ]\}, (A \rightarrow \epsilon|[A]|(A)|AA), A)$

(b)  $(\{A, B\}, \Sigma, \mathcal{R}, A)$  with rules:

$$A \rightarrow \epsilon|(B)|AA$$

$$B \rightarrow \epsilon|[A]|BB$$