

Computer-Aided Formal Verification (Sheet #1)

Marius Gavrilescu

1. This piece of pseudocode computes $Reach(TS)$ as R . The $++$ operator is set union, $\{\}$ is the empty set, and $|X|$ is the number of elements in set X .

```
R <- I
loop:
  N <- {}
  for s in R do:
    N <- N ++ Post(s)
  if |N| == |R|:
    finish loop
R <- N
```

2. Recall $pref(P) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in P} pref(\sigma)$ and $closure(P) = \{\sigma \in 2^{AP} \mid pref(\sigma) \subseteq pref(P)\}$.

Note that $\forall \sigma \in P, pref(\sigma) \subseteq pref(P)$ and so $\sigma \in closure(P)$. Thus $P \subseteq closure(P)$, which leads to $pref(P) \subseteq pref(closure(P))$.

Now take $x \in closure(P)$. By the definition of the closure, $pref(x) \subseteq pref(P)$. But $pref(closure(P)) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in closure(P)} pref(\sigma)$, and so $pref(closure(P)) \subseteq pref(P)$.

Therefore $pref(closure(P)) = pref(P)$.

3. TS_2 can produce traces which contain bbb . TS_1 and TS_2 can only produce traces with at most two consecutive b .

TS_2 cannot produce traces with an even number of consecutive b 's, while TS_1 can produce a trace starting $abba$ and TS_3 can also produce a trace starting $abba$.

As such $Traces(TS_2)$ is neither included nor includes nor is equal to $Traces(TS_1)$ or $Traces(TS_3)$.

We still need to compare TS_1 and TS_3 .

TS_3 can produce the trace $aaaaa(abab)^*$ (by staying in u_5 in the beginning then going in the square). However TS_1 cannot obtain more than 2 a 's in the beginning without going to state s_5 , after which it cannot produce any more b 's. So $Traces(TS_3)$ is not a subset of $Traces(TS_1)$.

Finally note that TS_1 can produce the trace $abbaa$ whereas TS_3 can only produce two consecutive a 's in the beginning.

Thus none of the subset/equality relations we are checking is true.

4. A liveness property is one that can't be invalidated by finite traces, i.e. $pref(P) = (2^{AP})^*$

We have $pref(P \cup P') = pref(P) \cup pref(P') = (2^{AP})^*$, so liveness properties are closed under set union.

Liveness properties are not closed under set intersection due to some topological argument I do not understand (there is a natural topology of $(2^{AP})^\omega$ where safety properties are the closed sets and liveness properties are the dense sets. Since dense sets are not closed under intersection, neither are liveness properties).

A safety property is one where $closure(P) = P$.

We have $closure(P) = P$ and $closure(P') = P'$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Therefore } closure(P \cup P') &= \\
&\{\sigma \in (2^{AP})^\omega \mid pref(\sigma) \subseteq pref(P \cup P')\} = \\
&\{\sigma \in (2^{AP})^\omega \mid pref(\sigma) \subseteq pref(P) \vee pref(\sigma) \subseteq pref(P')\} = \\
&\{\sigma \in (2^{AP})^\omega \mid pref(\sigma) \subseteq pref(P)\} \cup \{\sigma \in (2^{AP})^\omega \mid pref(\sigma) \subseteq pref(P')\} = \\
&closure(P) \cup closure(P') = \\
&P \cup P'
\end{aligned}$$

Again, we have $closure(P) = P$ and $closure(P') = P'$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Therefore } closure(P \cap P') &= \\
&\{\sigma \in (2^{AP})^\omega \mid pref(\sigma) \subseteq pref(P \cap P')\} = \\
&\{\sigma \in (2^{AP})^\omega \mid pref(\sigma) \subseteq pref(P) \wedge pref(\sigma) \subseteq pref(P')\} = \\
&\{\sigma \in (2^{AP})^\omega \mid pref(\sigma) \subseteq pref(P)\} \cap \{\sigma \in (2^{AP})^\omega \mid pref(\sigma) \subseteq pref(P')\} = \\
&closure(P) \cap closure(P') = \\
&P \cap P'
\end{aligned}$$

Thus safety properties are closed under both set union and set intersection.